January 21, 2020

The Honorable Lee Qualm  
Chair, House State Affairs Committee  
South Dakota House of Representatives  
Capitol Building  
500 East Capitol Avenue  
Pierre, SD  57501-5070

Re:   AMA opposition to H.B. 1057

Dear Majority Leader Qualm:

On behalf of the American Medical Association (AMA) and our physician and medical student members, I am writing to express our opposition to House Bill (H.B.) 1057—legislation that would criminalize the provision of medically necessary gender transition-related care to minor patients. We believe this legislation represents legislative intrusion into the practice of medicine and will be detrimental to the health of transgender children in South Dakota.

Empirical evidence has demonstrated that trans and non-binary gender identities are normal variations of human identity and expression. Standards of care and accepted medically necessary services that affirm gender or treat gender dysphoria may include mental health counseling, non-medical social transition, gender-affirming hormone therapy, and/or gender-affirming surgeries. Every major medical association in the United States recognizes the medical necessity of transition-related care for improving the physical and mental health of transgender people. It is important to emphasize, however, that clinical guidelines established by professional medical organizations do not recommend the use of certain irreversible interventions on minors. The guidelines instead promote supportive interventions based on the current evidence and that enable young people to explore and live the gender that they choose.

Decisions about gender affirming care in minors must be made between a physician, patient, and the patient’s parents or guardians. As with all medical interventions, physicians are guided by their ethical duty to act in the best interest of their patients and must tailor recommendations about specific interventions and the timing of those interventions to each patient’s unique circumstances. Such decisions must be sensitive to the child’s clinical situation, nurture the child’s short and long-term development and balance the need to preserve the child’s opportunity to make important life choices autonomously in the future. We strongly believe it would be inappropriate and harmful for the state of South Dakota to legislatively dictate that certain transition-related services are never appropriate and limit the range of options physicians,
patients and families may consider when making decisions for pediatric patients. Moreover, criminalizing the practice of medicine and forcing physicians to disregard their sacred oath is a dangerous legislative overreach.

In addition, evidence has demonstrated that forgoing gender-affirming care can have tragic consequences. Transgender individuals are up to three times more likely than the general population to report or be diagnosed with mental health disorders, with as many as 41.5 percent reporting at least one diagnosis of a mental health or substance use disorder. The increased prevalence of these mental health conditions is widely thought to be a consequence of minority stress, the chronic stress from coping with societal stigma and discrimination because of one’s gender identity and expression. Because of this stress, transgender minors also face a significantly heightened risk of suicide.

Transgender children, like all children, have the best chance to thrive when they are supported and can obtain the health care they need. Studies suggest that improved body satisfaction and self-esteem following the receipt of gender-affirming care is protective against poorer mental health and supports healthy relationships with parents and peers. Studies also demonstrate dramatic reductions in suicide attempts, as well as decreased rates of depression and anxiety. Other studies show that a majority of patients report improved mental health and function after receipt of gender-affirming care. Medically supervised care can also reduce rates of harmful self-prescribed hormones, use of construction-grade silicone injections and other interventions that have potential to cause adverse events.

It is imperative that transgender minors be given the opportunity to explore their gender identity under the safe and supportive care of a physician. We fear that passage of H.B. 1057 will forestall that opportunity and exacerbate the mental health challenges already facing South Dakota’s transgender youth. In addition, the AMA has grave concerns about the legislature interfering in the patient-physician relationship and compromising physicians’ ability to use their

---

medical judgment as to the treatment that is in the best interest of their patients. For these reasons, we urge the members of the House State Affairs Committee to reject H.B. 1057.

We thank you for the opportunity to express our views on this important issue. If you need further information, please contact Annalia Michelman, JD, Senior Legislative Attorney, Advocacy Resource Center at annalia.michelman@ama-assn.org or (312) 464-4788.

Sincerely,

James L. Madara, MD

cc: South Dakota State Medical Association