City of Charleston v. Ferguson, 532 U.S. 67 (2001)

Topics Covered: Patient Privacy, Confidentiality, Ethics and Patient Rights

Outcome: Very Favorable

Issue
The issue in this case was whether mandatory drug testing of pregnant women seeking obstetrical care at a state funded hospital was permissible on constitutional and statutory grounds.

AMA Interest
The AMA supports protection of patient privacy.

Case Summary
This case challenged a policy fashioned largely by Charleston, South Carolina law enforcement officials whereby pregnant women who sought obstetrical care at the Medical University of South Carolina (“MUSC”) were subjected to warrantless and non-consensual drug testing designed and used to facilitate arrest and prosecution of mothers who tested positive for cocaine. MUSC was a state-funded hospital and the only medical facility in the Charleston area to treat indigent and Medicaid patients, a majority of whom were African-American. When the policy was implemented, drug treatment was unavailable for pregnant women. Mothers and expectant mothers who tested positive at the hospital were simply jailed. The drug testing policy was not used in any of the other Charleston area hospitals.

Ten women, including nine women who had been arrested for testing positive for illegal substances, challenged the policy on various constitutional and statutory grounds. In upholding the policy, a divided panel of the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals held that, because urine drug screens may serve a medical purpose, there was no need for a warrant or consent, even though the testing had been done to further law enforcement objectives.

The Supreme Court reversed and remanded, holding that the Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution’s general prohibition against nonconsensual, warrantless and suspicionless searches necessarily applied to the policy.

Litigation Center Involvement
The AMA filed an amicus brief in the U.S. Supreme Court, arguing that the policy mandating drug testing of pregnant women violated the patients’ expectations of privacy when they consulted with their physicians, discouraged drug-abusing women from seeking prenatal care, and was generally ineffective in preventing drug abuse.